

MARK WARDLAW DIRECTOR

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
5510 OVERLAND AVENUE, SUITE 310, SAN DIEGO, CA 92123
www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds

February 15, 2018

DRAFT MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PROJECT NAME: TAVERN ROAD MAJOR GRADING PLAN

RECORD ID: PDS2015-LDGRMJ-30023

This Document is Considered Draft until it is Adopted by the Appropriate County of San Diego Decision-Making Body.

This Mitigated Negative Declaration is comprised of this form along with the Environmental Initial Study that includes the following:

a. Initial Study Form

b. Attached extended studies for Tavern Road Major Grading Plan

1. California Environmental Quality Act Mitigated Negative Declaration Findings:

Find, that this Mitigated Negative Declaration reflects the decision-making body's independent judgment and analysis, and; that the decision-making body has reviewed and considered the information contained in this Mitigated Negative Declaration and the comments received during the public review period; and that revisions in the project plans or proposals made by or agreed to by the project applicant would avoid the effects or mitigate the effects to a point where clearly no significant effects would occur; and, on the basis of the whole record before the decision-making body (including this Mitigated Negative Declaration) that there is no substantial evidence that the project as revised will have a significant effect on the environment.

2. Required Mitigation Measures:

Refer to the attached Environmental Initial Study for the rationale for requiring the following measures:

BIO#1-OFFSITE MITIGATION [PDS, FEE X2]

INTENT: In order to mitigate for the impacts to granitic southern mixed chaparral, which is a sensitive biological resource pursuant to the County's Guidelines for Determining significance, offsite mitigation shall be acquired. **DESCRIPTION OF REQUIREMENT:** The applicant shall purchase habitat credit, or provide for the conservation of habitat of .11 acres of chaparral, located at mitigation bank deemed appropriate by the County of San Diego indicated below.

- a. **Option 1:** If purchasing <u>Mitigation Credit</u> the mitigation bank shall be approved by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. The following evidence of purchase shall include the following information to be provided by the mitigation bank:
 - 2. A copy of the purchase contract referencing the project name and numbers for which the habitat credits were purchased.
 - 3. If not stated explicitly in the purchase contract, a separate letter must be provided identifying the entity responsible for the long-term management and monitoring of the preserved land.
 - 4. To ensure the land will be protected in perpetuity, evidence must be provided that a dedicated conservation easement or similar land constraint has been placed over the mitigation land.
 - 5. An accounting of the status of the mitigation bank. This shall include the total amount of credits available at the bank, the amount required by this project and the amount remaining after utilization by this project.
- b. **Option 2:** If habitat credit cannot be purchased in a mitigation bank, then the applicant shall provide for the conservation of habitat of the same amount and type of land located in San Diego County as indicated below:
 - 1. The type of habitat and the location of the proposed mitigation, should be preapproved by *[PDS, PCC]* before purchase or entering into any agreement for purchase.
 - 2. A Resource Management Plan (RMP) shall be prepared and approved pursuant to the County of San Diego Biological Report Format and Content Requirements to the satisfaction of the Director of PDS. If the offsite mitigation is proposed to be owned and/or managed by DPR, the RMP shall also be approved by the Director of DPR.
 - An open space easement over the land shall be dedicated to the County of San Diego
 or like agency to the satisfaction of the Director of PDS. The land shall be protected
 in perpetuity.
 - 4. The final RMP cannot be approved until the following has been completed to the satisfaction of the Director of PDS: The land shall be purchased, the easements shall be dedicated, a Resource Manager shall be selected, and the RMP funding mechanism shall be in place.
 - 5. In lieu of providing a private habitat manager, the applicant may contract with a federal, state or local government agency with the primary mission of resource management to take fee title and manage the mitigation land Evidence of satisfaction must include a copy of the contract with the agency, and a written statement from the agency that (1) the land contains the specified acreage and the specified habitat, or like functioning habitat, and (2) the land will be managed by the agency for conservation of natural resources in perpetuity.

DOCUMENTATION: The applicant shall purchase the offsite mitigation credits and provide the evidence to the *[PDS, PPD]* for review and approval. If the offsite mitigation is proposed to be owned or managed by DPR, the applicant must provide evidence to the *[PDS PPD]* that *[DPR, GPM]* agrees to this proposal. It is recommended that the applicant submit the mitigation proposal to the *[PDS, PPD]*, for a pre-approval. If an RMP is submitted in-lieu of purchasing credits (option 1), then the RMP shall be prepared and an application for the RMP shall be submitted to the *[PDS, ZONING]*. **TIMING:** Prior to the approval of the map and prior to the

approval of any plan and issuance of any permit, the mitigation shall be completed. **MONITORING:** The *[PDS, PPD]* shall review the mitigation purchase for compliance with this condition. Upon request from the applicant *[PDS, PPD]* can preapprove the location and type of mitigation only. The credits shall be purchased before the requirement can be completed. If the applicant chooses option #2, then the *[PDS, ZONING]* shall accept an application for an RMP, and *[PDS, PPD]* shall review the RMP submittal for compliance with this condition and the RMP Guidelines.

BIO#2-RESOURCE AVOIDANCE [PDS, FEE X2]

INTENT: In order to avoid impacts to nesting migratory birds, which are a sensitive biological resource pursuant to the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, any brushing removal, clearing or grading between February 15 and August 31 shall require a pre-construction survey for active migratory bird nests. If an active nest is detected then the applicant must consult with the US Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Wildlife and the County of San Diego to develop a plan prior to construction in order to avoid impacts to nests. **DESCRIPTION OF** REQUIREMENT: There shall be no brushing, clearing and/or grading such that none will be allowed within 300 feet of nesting bird habitat during the breeding season within RAA. The breeding season is defined as occurring between February 15 and August 31. The Director of PDS [PDS, PCC] may waive this condition, through written concurrence from the US Fish and Wildlife Service and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, provided that no nesting birds are present in the vicinity of the brushing, clearing or grading. **DOCUMENTATION:** The applicant shall provide a letter of agreement with this condition; alternatively, the applicant may submit a written request for waiver of this condition. Although, No Grading shall occur within the RAA until concurrence is received from the County and the Wildlife Agencies. TIMING: Prior to preconstruction conference and prior to any clearing, grubbing, trenching, grading, or any land disturbances and throughout the duration of the grading and construction, compliance with this condition is mandatory unless the requirement is waived by the County upon receipt of concurrence from the Wildlife Agencies. **MONITORING:** The [DPW, PDCI] shall not allow any grading in the RAA during the specified dates, unless a concurrence from the [PDS, PPD] is received. The [PDS, PPD] shall review the concurrence letter."

3. Critical Project Design Elements That Must Become Conditions of Approval:

The following project design elements were either proposed in the project application or the result of compliance with specific environmental laws and regulations and were essential in reaching the conclusions within the attached Environmental Initial Study. While the following are not technically mitigation measures, their implementation must be assured to avoid potentially significant environmental effects.

None

ADOPTION STATEMENT: This Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted and above California Environmental Quality Act findings made by the:

Director, Planning & Development Services
Date

Gary Smith, Land Development Project Manager Planning & Development Services